



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/841,536	04/24/2001	Sanjay Agarwal	5909		
7590 02/20/2004			EXAMINER		
Sanjay Agarwal			PAN, DANIEL H		
CHIPSOL, Inc. 4702 Cheeney St.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Santa Clara, CA 95054			2183	2	
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

< D

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/841,536		AGARWAL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Par	Art Unit MD			
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communica Reply	ation appears on the o	cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHOF THE MA - Extensic after SI) - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute or reply within the set or extended period for reply will by received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. 19ys, a reply within the statute tory period will apply and will by by statute, cause the applic	i, however, may a reply be ti bry minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ation to become ABANDON	imety filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 April 2001</u> .					
·—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims	-					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	<u> </u>						
Application	n Papers						
10)⊠ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the Enter drawing(s) filed on <u>24 April 2001</u> is objected to by the Enterprise drawing sheet(s) including the enterprise oath or declaration is objected to be	s/are: a)⊠ accepted on to the drawing(s) be ne correction is required	held in abeyance. Set if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationals the attached detailed Office action to	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documen al Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applica ts have been receiv 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of 2) Notice of 3) Informa Paper N	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Art Unit: 2183

1. Clams 1-3 are presented for examination.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

2. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the math computation" in last line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is not sure whether the applicant is introducing a math computation or not. No other math computation can be found in the claim. Suggestion: replace "the" by "a".

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hong (6,421,698).

Art Unit: 2183

As to claim 1, Hong disclosed a system for controlling processors (see processing units in fig.1) using synchronous logic design to achieve digital signal processing (see the pixel processing or the motion estimation and the cooperative operations in col.4, lines 30-41) comprising steps Of:

- a) implementing signed two's complement multiplication (e.g. see col.7, lines 12-24, see also col.7, lines 60-66);
- b) implementing divide function (e.g. see the divided by two value in col.8 lines 6-13);
- c) implementing a left shift and right shift (e.g. see the left or the right in col.8, lines 19-22, see also col.16, lines 49-59, see explanation below);
- d) normalizing function (e.g. see the NORM in col.16, line 51).
- 4. AS to the claimed language "shift left and shift right", it is interpreted as the capability of implementing either shift left or right because it would be impossible to shift the data bit left **and** right at the same time; it would be unworkable. Therefore, the meaning of the claimed language is being treated as a system capable of implementing either left shift or right shift. And, Hong did disclosed this capability of shift left "and " shift right functions (see col.8, lines19-22). And, it is for the above reasons the claim is found anticipated by Hong. Applicant is welcome to provide feedback in the next response to clarify the issue.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2183

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) (b) as being anticipated by Shackleford et al. (5,896,521).
- 6. As to claim 2, Shackleford disclosed a coprocessor system using a register file as an interface for selecting a desired math computation (see the selection of the ALU operation output in col., see also the feedback path of the register file with the ALU, register file selector 242 is viewed as functional port of refitted file and the shifter 240 is the output port of ALU in fig.5).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) (b) as being anticipated by Miyamori et al. (5,687,105).

Art Unit: 2183

- 8. As to claim 3, Miyamori disclosed at least a coprocessor (DSP) using source data dependency (m) to compute the time of duration required to perform a computation [multiply and add] (see fig.5, see the m+k overall clock cycles in col.7, lines 32-42, see also how the adder [23] dependent from m clock from 6d and output result from multiplier 22 in col.6, lines 56-62).
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a) Kartalopoulos (5,590,323) is cited for showing the basic teaching of the time taken for a computational task (e.g. see col.1, lines 25-30 for background, see col.6, lines 15-30);
- b) Ohsawa et al. (5,625,832) is cited for showing the teaching of the arithmetic calculations executed during a time frame (e.g. see figs.9,a,b, col.20, lines 42-56);
- c) Uematsu (6,009,262) is cited for showing the total processing time based on the computation time and wait time to complete the computation time (e.g. see col.8, lines 36-56);
- d) Kuroda et al. (4,722,068) is cited for the teaching of the register file with extended sign bit of 2's complement multiplication (e.g. see col.2, lines 51-68, col.3, lines 1-24, see also col.3, lines 30-67).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Pan whose telephone number is 703 305 9696. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chan, can be reached on 703 305 9712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2183

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DANIZL H. PAN PRIMATY EXAMINER VAOUP